

### Q1 Overall, how did this CLE meet your expectations? Was it...



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
A lot better than expected	31.25%	10
Better than expected	50.00%	16
About what I expected	15.63%	5
Worse than expected	0.00%	0
A lot worse than expected	3.13%	1
TOTAL		32

#	BELOW, PLEASE ENTER ANY OBSERVATIONS REGARDING SPECIFIC SESSIONS AT THE CLE:	DATE
1	Mark Sullivan is amazing; thank you for having him and giving him lots of time.	10/16/2017 9:08 AM
2	Probably best CLE i've attended in years.	10/15/2017 10:05 AM
3	it was wonderfully overwhelming!	10/14/2017 3:52 PM
4	I'm incredibly new to military in divorce. From the get-go, not being able to reference anything and the presenter jumping back and forth made it unfollowable.	10/14/2017 2:52 PM
5	Very informative, speakers were good and engaging on topics that were difficult.	10/14/2017 10:36 AM
6	The speaker is extremely knowledgeable and an excellent speaker. Some of the sessions were too detailed and some like a survey/too general	10/13/2017 4:54 PM

# Q2 Was this CLE relevant to your practice area?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	100.00%	32
No	0.00%	0
TOTAL		32

# Q3 Why wasn't this CLE relevant to your practice area?

Answered: 0 Skipped: 32

#	RESPONSES	DATE
	There are no responses.	


### Q4 How useful was the content presented?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely useful	59.38%	19
Very useful	34.38%	11
Somewhat useful	3.13%	1
Not so useful	3.13%	1
Not at all useful	0.00%	0
TOTAL		32

#	IN WHAT WAY?	DATE
1	I became aware of potential pitfalls to avoid.	10/26/2017 4:07 PM
2	this is a very difficult area of family law that not many people can explain	10/16/2017 4:01 PM
3	The information was advanced issues with military divorces and the info provided was advanced. I hate attending CLE that advertise "advance" and end up not addressing adavnaced issues.	10/16/2017 3:39 PM
4	It would have been very helpful to have access to the materials way in advance because I don't understand how you guys thought you were going to cover a 2" binder in 10pt font in 2 dayswe at least got 16 weeks in law school. And not being able to have any reference points from the presentations made it really, really roughI had no idea where we were at most points.	10/14/2017 2:54 PM
5	Applicable to common practive	10/14/2017 10:36 AM
6	Hit the high point issues with plenty of back-up material for rounding out the information	10/13/2017 4:09 PM

### Q5 Did the content of this session meet the stated learning objectives?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	96.88%	31
No	3.13%	1
TOTAL		32

# Q6 In what way did this CLE fail to meet your learning goals?

Answered: 1 Skipped: 31

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I was so lost. It was really hard to stay engaged because I couldn't figure out a way to organize the notes. I couldn't even write in the binder because it bounced around and referenced ??? from before.	10/14/2017 2:55 PM

### Q7 Please rate the effectiveness of each faculty member:




	EXTREMELY EFFECTIVE	VERY EFFECTIVE	SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE	NOT SO EFFECTIVE	NOT AT ALL EFFECTIVE	N/A	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Mark Sullivan	62.07% 18	31.03% 9	3.45% 1	0.00%	3.45% 1	0.00%	29	4.48
William Slease	58.62% 17	37.93% 11	3.45% 1	0.00% 0	0.00%	0.00%	29	4.55
Theresa Miller	37.50% 9	29.17% 7	16.67% 4	4.17% 1	0.00% 0	12.50% 3	24	4.14
Timothy Reed	34.78% 8	17.39% 4	26.09% 6	13.04% 3	0.00% 0	8.70% 2	23	3.81
Lori Comalie- Caplan	54.17% 13	29.17% 7	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	16.67% 4	24	4.65
Mark Caplan	20.83% 5	50.00% 12	16.67% 4	0.00%	0.00%	12.50% 3	24	4.05
Amanda Aragon	33.33% 8	16.67% 4	8.33% 2	12.50% 3	4.17% 1	25.00% 6	24	3.83

#	COMMENTS:	DATE
1	I don't remember Amanda Aragon at all	10/16/2017 4:02 PM
2	I ranked Mark Caplan lower becuae his presentation was good, but he indicated NM had a statute on relocation. It does not. The statute he prensented as being the statute on relocation is actually the statute on Joint Legal Custody. It is a staute used for relocatin cases, but it not "the statute on relocation"	10/16/2017 3:42 PM
3	Ms. Aragon did not seem prepared at all.	10/16/2017 12:27 PM

#### Evaluation - 2017 Family Law Institute

4	I would really have liked to have had the information that Mr. Sullivan was clearly reading from because I have no idea how to connect it to the information that was provided to us.	10/14/2017 2:57 PM
5	With fast pacing, listener interaction and movie references, Sullivan manages to keep the interest level high with tedious and technical material.	10/13/2017 4:21 PM

# Q8 How would you like to receive information about future CLE programs?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Bar Bulletin CLE Planner (color insert)	59.26%	16
CLE Website	37.04%	10
Bar Bulletin CLE ad	37.04%	10
State Bar E-news (weekly e-newsletter)	18.52%	5
Bar Bulletin Calendar	33.33%	9
E-mail from State Bar	74.07%	20
Facebook	3.70%	1
Twitter	0.00%	0
Total Respondents: 27		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Word of mouth	10/16/2017 10:08 AM

# Q9 In what ways can the Center for Legal Education improve your learning experience?

Answered: 9 Skipped: 23


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	It was very hot most of the time so making it cooler would be great	10/16/2017 4:02 PM
2	Please do not schedule the Family Law Institute on the holiday weekend for the APS Fall Break again. The CLE was good, but created issues for attending due to the Balloon Fiesta and Fall Break.	10/16/2017 3:42 PM
3	I am not sure why this was only available live and as a live webcast.	10/16/2017 10:51 AM
4	Too much sitting. More active learning.	10/16/2017 10:08 AM
5	Put some electrical outlets for laptops being used in the audience. Even if you have to charge "extra" (which I do not think should be done), provide a copy of the presenters slides in the materials. I find it distracting to try to find out where the presenter is in his/her materials and watch the slides and write down the outline that appears on the slides.	10/15/2017 9:26 AM
6	I enjoy the wide range of programs you offer in all kids of specialities. It encourages me to "stray"! Staying in the same area could become boring!	10/14/2017 4:02 PM
7	Getting us the materials for dense information sooner so we can at least try to get in touch with what is going to go on. Getting us the materials that are actually presented so we can follow along.	10/14/2017 2:57 PM
8	Better breakfast options.	10/14/2017 9:04 AM
9	Prescribe smart pills.	10/13/2017 4:21 PM

# Q10 What other topics and presenters would you like to see at future CLE programs?

Answered: 5 Skipped: 27


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Division of income and monthly expenses, preparing QDRO's and trial exhibits	10/23/2017 10:53 AM
2	More family law.	10/16/2017 10:08 AM
3	An update on the use of scientific evidence used in family law cases.	10/15/2017 9:26 AM
4	Other programs about various types and unique intricacies re QDRO's. Regarding #9 below: despite the level of knowledge of wither the presented or the audience, I think one learns a lot by listening to the interactions and questions of multiple others and the presenter in a larger question/answer period format.	10/14/2017 4:02 PM
5	QDROs	10/14/2017 2:57 PM

# Q11 I prefer the following types of learning methods (please see descriptions below):



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Lecture: university-style talk to a large audience, using visual aids	88.46%	23	
Large Group Discussion: open forum which allows audience to pose questions to presenter(s)	53.85%	14	
Small Group Discussion: breakout sessions where attendees form small groups moderated by faculty		6	
Case Study: a lecture which centers around a specific case or cases relevant to the topic	34.62%	9	
Role Play: interactive, audience participation-based scenarios such as a mock trial		4	
Total Respondents: 26			

# Q12 The Center for Legal Education is an accredited course provider through the New Mexico State Bar Foundation. How likely are you to attend a future Center for Legal Education course?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely likely	85.71%	24
Very likely	14.29%	4
Somewhat likely	0.00%	0
Not so likely	0.00%	0
Not at all likely	0.00%	0
TOTAL		28